SNSB Summer Term 2013 Ergodic Theory and Additive Combinatorics Laurențiu Leuștean

03.07.2013

## Seminar 9

(S9.1) Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathcal{B})$  and  $n \ge 1$ .

- (i) If f is T-invariant (a.e.), then  $S_n f = f$  (a.e.).
- (ii)  $S_n f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(X, \mathcal{B}).$
- (iii)  $S_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U_{T^k} f.$
- (iv) For any  $p \ge 1$ ,  $f \in L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  (resp.  $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ) implies  $S_n f \in L^p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  (resp.  $L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ).
- (v) For all  $x \in X$ ,  $\frac{n+1}{n}S_{n+1}(x) S_nf(Tx) = \frac{1}{n}f(x)$ .
- (vi) If  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ , then  $\underline{f} \circ T = \underline{f}$  and  $\overline{f} \circ T = \overline{f}$ .
- (vii)  $\int_X S_n f \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu.$
- (viii) If  $f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is nonnegative, then  $S_n f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is nonnegative and  $||S_n f||_1 = ||f||_1$ .

*Proof.* (i) Obviously, since  $f \circ T = f$  (a.e.) implies  $f \circ T^k = f$  (a.e.) for all  $k \ge 0$ .

- (ii) For all  $k \ge 0$ , we have that  $f \circ T^k$  is measurable, as a composition of measurable functions. Hence,  $S_n f$  is measurable as a finite sum of measurable functions.
- (iii) For every  $x \in X$ ,

$$S_n f(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U_{T^k} f(x) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U_{T^k} f\right) (x).$$

(iv) Apply (iii) and Theorem 3.1.6.

(v)

$$S_n(Tx) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^{k+1}x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^n f(T^kx) - \frac{1}{n} f(x)$$
$$= \frac{n+1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n f(T^kx) - \frac{1}{n} f(x) = \frac{n+1}{n} S_{n+1}(x) - \frac{1}{n} f(x).$$

Hence,  $\frac{n+1}{n}S_{n+1}(x) - S_n f(Tx) = \frac{1}{n}f(x).$ 

(vi) Let  $x \in X$ . Then

$$(\underline{f} \circ T)(x) = \underline{f}(Tx) = \liminf_{n} S_n f(Tx) = \liminf_{n} \left(\frac{n+1}{n} S_{n+1}(x) - \frac{1}{n} f(x)\right)$$
$$= \liminf_{n} \left(\frac{n+1}{n} S_{n+1} f(x)\right), \quad \text{since } \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} f(x) = 0$$
$$= \liminf_{n} S_{n+1} f(x), \quad \text{since } \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n+1}{n} = 1$$
$$= \underline{f}(x).$$

We prove similarly that  $(\overline{f} \circ T)(x) = \overline{f}(x)$ .

(vii) We have that

$$\int_X S_n f \, d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_X U_{T^k} f \, d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_X f \, d\mu,$$

by Proposition 3.1.5.

(viii) Since  $U_{T^k}$  is positive for all k, we get that  $S_n f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is nonnegative. Apply (vii) to get that

$$||S_n f||_1 = \int_X S_n f \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu = ||f||_1.$$

(S9.2) Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $n \ge 1$ .

(i) 
$$S_n \chi_A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi_{T^{-k}(A)}$$
 and  $\chi_B \cdot S_n \chi_A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi_{T^{-k}(A) \cap B}$ .

(ii) 
$$\int_X S_n \chi_A = \mu(A).$$

(iii) 
$$\int_X \chi_B \cdot S_n \chi_A \, d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^{-k}(A) \cap B).$$

*Proof.* Firstly, let us remark that since  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $\int_X \chi_A d\mu = \mu(A) \le \mu(X) < \infty$ , hence  $\chi_A \in L^p_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  for all  $1 \le p < \infty$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ . Furthermore,  $\chi_A$  is nonegative.

- (i) It is an easy exercise.
- (ii) Apply Proposition 4.0.7.(vii) with  $f := \chi_A$ .

(iii)

$$\int_X \chi_B \cdot S_n \chi_A = \int_X \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi_{T^{-i}(A)\cap B} \, d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_X \chi_{T^{-i}(A)\cap B} \, d\mu$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(T^{-i}(A)\cap B).$$

(S9.3)

(i) Let X be a nonempty set,  $(E_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence of subsets of X and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i} f = \chi_{\bigcup_{i \ge 1} E_i} f.$$
(D.3)

(ii) Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space,  $f \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ,  $(E_n)_{n \ge 1}$  be an increasing sequence of measurable sets, and  $E = \bigcup_{n \ge 1} E_n$ . Prove that

$$\int_{E} f \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E_n} f \, d\mu. \tag{D.4}$$

*Proof.* (i) Let

$$B_n := \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i, \quad B := \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i, \quad g_n := \chi_{B_n} f, \quad g := \chi_B f.$$

For every  $x \in X$ , we have two cases:

(a)  $x \in B$ . Then g(x) = f(x) and there exists  $N \ge 1$  such that  $x \in E_N$ . It follows that  $x \in B_n$  for all  $n \ge N$ , hence  $g_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $n \ge N$ . In particular,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) = f(x) = g(x)$ .

- (b)  $x \notin B$ . Then g(x) = 0 and  $x \notin E_n$  for any  $n \ge 1$ . It follows that  $x \notin B_n$  for any  $n \ge 1$ , hence  $g_n(x) = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . In particular,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(x) = 0 = g(x)$ .
- (ii) Let  $g_n := \chi_{E_n} f$  and  $g := \chi_E f$ . We have that
  - (a)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \chi_{E_n} f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i} f$ , since  $(E_n)$  is increasing. Apply now A.2.8 to conclude that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = \chi_E f = g$ .
  - (b)  $|g_n| \leq |f|$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $|f| \in L^1_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

We can apply Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem to conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_X g_n \, d\mu = \int_X g \, d\mu$$

It follows that

$$\int_{E} f \, d\mu = \int_{X} \chi_{E} f \, d\mu = \int_{X} g \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} g_{n} \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \chi_{E_{n}} f \, d\mu$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E_{n}} f \, d\mu.$$

_

## (S9.4)

**Proposition**. Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu, T)$  be a MPS. The following are equivalent

- (i) T is ergodic.
- (ii) Whenever  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is measurable and  $U_T f = f$ , then f is constant a.e..
- (iii) Whenever  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is measurable and  $U_T f = f$  a.e., then f is constant a.e..
- (iv) Whenever  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and  $U_T f = f$ , then f is constant a.e..
- (v) Whenever  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and  $U_T f = f$  a.e., then f is constant a.e..

*Proof.* The following implications are trivial: (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv), (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v), (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv).

 $(\mathbf{v}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{iii})$  and  $(\mathbf{iv}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{ii})$ . By considering real and imaginary parts and using the fact that  $U_T$  is linear, it suffices to consider  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \mathcal{B})$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  be such that  $T^{-1}(A) = A$ . Then  $\chi_A$  is measurable and  $U_T\chi_A = \chi_{T^{-1}(A)} = \chi_A$ , so we can apply (ii) to conclude that  $\chi_A$  is constant a.e. Thus, either  $\chi_A = 1$  a.e., in which case  $\mu(X \setminus A) = 0$  or  $\chi_A = 0$  a.e., in which case  $\mu(A) = 0$ .

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (v) Let  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$  be measurable with  $U_T f = f$  a.e.. Hence, if  $Y := \{x \in X \mid U_T f = f\}$ , then  $\mu(Y) = 1$ . Define for each  $m \ge 0$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$A_{m,k} = \left\{ x \in Y \mid \frac{k}{2^m} \le f(x) < \frac{k+1}{2^m} \right\}.$$
 (D.5)

It is easy to see that the *T*-invariance of *f* implies that  $T^{-1}(A_{m,k}) = A_{m,k}$  for all *m*, *k*. Furthermore, for fixed  $m \ge 0$ ,  $(A_{m,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a countable family of pairwise disjoint sets satisfying  $Y = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} A_{m,k}$ . The ergodicity of *T* implies that for every  $m \ge 0$  there exists  $k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mu(A_{m,k_m}) = 1$  and  $\mu(A_{m,k}) = 0$  for all  $k \ne k_m$ . Let

$$A := \bigcap_{m \ge 0} A_{m,k_m}$$

Note that

$$Y = \bigcap_{m \ge 0} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{m,k} = \bigcup (A_{1,p_1} \cap A_{2,p_2} \cap \dots A_{m,p_m} \cap \dots)$$

If at least one of  $p_m$ 's is different from  $k_m$ , then the measure of the intersection is 0. Thus, we must have  $\mu(A) = 1$ .

Let us prove that f is constant on A. Assume by contradiction that there are  $x, y \in A$ with f(x) - f(y) > 0 and take  $M \ge 0$  such that  $2^M(f(x) - f(y)) > 1$ . On the other hand  $k_M \le 2^M f(x), 2^M f(y) < k_M + 1$ , hence  $2^M(f(x) - f(y)) < 1$ . We have got a contradiction.